



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/735,260	12/12/2000	Ronald J. Parise	97-1775-A	7673

7590 07/07/2003

Robert J. Feltovic
55 Griffin Road South
Bloomfield, CT 06002

EXAMINER

PARSONS, THOMAS H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1745

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

REVISED
Advisory Action

Application No.

09/735,260

Applicant(s)

PARISE, RONALD J.

Examiner

Thomas H Parsons

Art Unit

1745

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 22 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____

3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Attachment.
6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____

Claim(s) objected to: _____

Claim(s) rejected: _____

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____
10. ☐ Other: _____

Patrick Ryan
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center

Thomas H Parsons
Examiner
Art Unit: 1745

Attachment to Advisory Action

The Applicant's arguments filed 21 April 2003 have been considered but do not place the application in condition for allowance because:

Smith et al. in Figure 2 discloses in a similar problem solving area a screen 10 having sun reflection and solar heat absorption characteristics that is configured and removably positioned to reduce interior heat proximate an object (i.e. the dashboard, stirring wheel, seats and other interior objects within an automobile). The sunscreen comprises a film in the form of a foldable board that may be readily installed or disassembled, and having an operative position outwardly folded for detachment and an unfolded position for storage when not in use.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the coating of Chang et al. into a foldable board (film) as taught by Smith et al. because both are concerned with reflection of solar radiation and Smith et al. teach a sunscreen that would have provided an inexpensive means for reducing interior temperature of an automobile by employing combined solar reflective and sun absorption characteristics in the form of screen that may be readily installed (unfolded) or disassembled (folded) thereby reducing costs associated with damage to the interior of a car due to the sun.

Smith et al. teach in a similar problem solving area that a material having sun reflection and solar heat absorption characteristics can be configured and removably positioned and has been relied upon to modify the coating of Chang et al. into another form (i.e. fixed versus removably positioned).